



Validating a Questionnaire to Assess Secondary Physical Education Teachers' Perception of Implementing Body Expression

Silvia Garcías^{1*}, Alfredo Joven¹, Antoni Planas² & Eloísa Lorente-Catalán¹

¹ Research Group in Didactics of Physical Activity for Education, Culture and Well-Being, National Institute of Physical Education of Catalonia (INEFC), center of Lleida, and University of Lleida (Spain).

² Human Movement Research Group, National Institute of Physical Education of Catalonia (INEFC), center of Lleida, and University of Lleida (Spain).



Cite this article

Garcías, S., Joven, A., Planas, A. & Lorente-Catalán, E. (2024). Validating a Questionnaire to Assess Secondary Physical Education Teachers' Perception of Implementing Body Expression. *Apunts Educación Física y Deportes*, 158, 11-25. [https://doi.org/10.5672/apunts.2014-0983.es.\(2024/4\).158.02](https://doi.org/10.5672/apunts.2014-0983.es.(2024/4).158.02)

Abstract

Despite the acknowledged benefits of Corporal Expression, it remains a significantly under-taught subject among Physical Education teachers in Spain. Various reasons are cited for this, including ambiguous objectives and methodologies, entrenched stereotypes regarding expressive motor skills, particularly feminine associations, and inadequate training. This study aims to assess the current state of Corporal Expression teaching in Catalonia's secondary education.

To achieve this objective, a questionnaire evaluating teachers' perceptions regarding the implementation of Corporal Expression was adapted from an existing instrument used in the Andalusian community. Rigorous validation processes were conducted, including an expert panel assessment utilizing the Delphi method, quantitative and qualitative evaluations validating its logic, criteria, content, and construct. Additionally, a pilot test ($n = 40$) in a distinct population, a Test-Retest assessment ($n = 20$) to ensure stability, and an exploratory factor analysis involving 418 teachers (42.8 % female) were conducted to validate its internal consistency and reliability.

The study's findings indicate a Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) coefficient of .827, demonstrating a strong level of suitability, along with Bartlett's test of sphericity and Chi-square values affirming the continuity of factor analysis. Consequently, the Physical Education Teachers' Opinion Questionnaire designed for assessing Body Expression in Secondary Education was validated successfully. This validated questionnaire can serve as a robust tool for evaluating and understanding teachers' perspectives on implementing Corporal Expression in secondary education settings in Catalonia.

Keywords: Corporal Expression, dance, Delphi method, factor analysis, stereotypes, teaching-learning process.

Edited by:

© Generalitat de Catalunya
Departament de la Presidència
Institut Nacional d'Educació
Física de Catalunya (INEFC)

ISSN: 2014-0983

*Corresponding author:

Silvia Garcías
sgarcias@gencat.cat

Section:

Physical Education

Original language:

English

Received:

January 24, 2024

Accepted:

March 24, 2024

Published:

October 1, 2024

Front cover:

Rafa Nadal and Carlos Alcaraz of Spain in action against Tallon Griekspoor and Wesley Koolhof of Netherlands during their men's doubles second round tennis match at the Paris Olympic Games on July 30, 2024. (Photo by EFE/EPA/Ritchie B. Tongco)

Introduction

Body Expression, often referred to as Corporal Expression (CE), encompasses Creative Movement and Dance within educational curricula across primary, secondary, and high school levels. However, studies reveal a significant discrepancy in the teaching of CE, with a reported range of only 9 % to 27 % of teachers addressing all CE contents (Conesa-Ros & Angosto, 2017). Notably, the majority of teachers, over 70 %, seem to omit CE from their instructional focus (Conesa-Ros & Angosto, 2017). Concerningly, even prospective Physical Activity and Sport Sciences students, in teacher training programs, express more confidence in teaching sports than in delivering CE content (Cañadas et al., 2019).

Despite recognizing the importance of CE experiences, educators express several limitations hindering its comprehensive teaching. These include shortcomings in teaching guides (Lorente-Catalán et al., 2013), structural deficiencies in the selection and approach of CE content outlined in educational regulations (Cuéllar & Pestano, 2013; Gil, 2016), complexity in CE methodology (Montávez, 2012), and inadequate initial and continuing teacher training (Conesa-Ros & Angosto, 2017; Gil-Ares & Armada-Crespo, 2023; Rojo-Ramos et al., 2023; Sánchez-Sánchez & López-Pérez, 2019). Moreover, prejudices regarding expressive motor skills, gender stereotyping, and a negative perception among male teachers further limit CE instruction (Calvo et al., 2011).

While existing literature highlights gender disparities and prejudices influencing CE instruction (García et al., 2015; Lafuente & Hortigüela, 2021; Robles et al., 2013), contradictory findings, such as those in studies by Rodríguez-Fernández et al. (2019), indicate no significant gender-based differences. Nonetheless, CE remains prioritized in initial training for primary school teachers (Cañadas et al., 2019).

This study aims to validate a questionnaire, aligning with previous research methodologies utilized by Montávez (2012), Archilla (2013), Villard (2014), Gil (2016), and Armada (2017), which employed surveys to investigate CE. Similar

to the approaches of Banyeres (2015) and Espinel (2017), who utilized questionnaires in social science research, this study seeks to validate a questionnaire as a tool for acquiring essential insights into secondary Physical Education (PE) teachers' perceptions concerning CE implementation in Catalonia, as well as knowing if they use Body Percussion (BP) to teach this content. This validation process intends to enable an accurate description of the reality experienced by this specific population, shedding light on the current status of CE instruction in secondary schools within Catalonia.

Methodology

According to Ato et al. (2013), the study design is instrumental. Evidence oriented to the content and evidence regarding the internal structure will be shown by: 1) content validity (construct and quantitative and qualitative assessment by expert judges), 2) reliability (construct and temporal stability) and 3) internal consistency and Exploratory Factor Analysis (also inspired by the classification established by American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, & National Council on Measurement in Education, 2018).

Participants

The process of designing, applying, and validating the instrument unfolds across three distinct moments:

1) Content validity (construct and quantitative and qualitative assessment by expert judges): This first step encompasses the design and adaptation of the instrument. It involves the selection of an expert panel based on specific inclusion and exclusion criteria. The panel consisted of ten individuals with expertise in higher education subjects or performing arts, all directly related to the research (refer to Table 1). The data collection involved these experts, followed by an analysis of their responses.

Table 1

Selection and exclusion criteria to create the panel of expert judges who will validate the content.

| Selection criteria | | | | | | Exclusion criteria | |
|--|--------|-----|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|
| Professors, if possible, of the subject of Corporal Expression at any national university (Spain), preferably in Catalonia, with a PhD (if possible) or linked to research. Research teacher (if possible) with a link to the performing arts (theatre, dance, circus...). Voluntary participation in research | | | | | | Failure to meet selection criteria | |
| Code (pseudonym) | Gender | Age | Teaching experience | Autonomous Community | Highest qualification | Experiences linked to C | Linked to Research |
| E1 (Mary) | Female | 63 | University (>30 years) | Catalonia | PhD | Director, coordinator, tenured faculty at CE | Yes |
| E2 (Sophia) | Female | 46 | University (>15 years) | Catalonia | PhD | Director, coordinator, artist and teacher in CE | Yes |
| E3 (Anny) | Female | 56 | University (>30 years) | Catalonia | PhD | Collaborator in the field of CE (10 years) | Yes |
| E4 (Charles) | Male | 62 | University (25 years) | Castilla la Mancha | PhD | Full Professor of CE | Yes |

Table 1 (Continuation)*Selection and exclusion criteria to create the panel of expert judges who will validate the content.*

| Code (pseudonym) | Gender | Age | Teaching experience | Autonomous Community | Highest qualification | Experiences linked to C | Linked to Research |
|------------------|--------|-----|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|
| E5 (John) | Male | 46 | University (>15 years) | Madrid | PhD | Director, artist and teacher | Yes |
| E6 (Peter) | Male | 34 | University (<10 years) | Andalusia | PhD | Coordinator, choreographer and teacher | Yes |
| E7 (Nataly) | Female | 55 | University (>30 years) | Catalonia | PhD | Expert in didactics and in the master's degree in secondary education | Yes |
| E8 (Joseph) | Male | 63 | University (>30 years) | Catalonia | PhD and Professor | Director, artist and teacher at CE | Yes |
| E9 (Rebecca) | Female | 46 | Extracurricular school (>20 years) | Catalonia | Bachelor's degree | Director, coordinator, artist and teacher | Yes |
| E10 (Helen) | Female | 42 | University (<10 years) | Catalonia | University degree | Director, coordinator, artist and teacher in CE | Yes |

2) Reliability (construct and temporal stability): This moment of the research entails two pivotal stages. Firstly, the construct analysis through a pilot test involved 40 primary and secondary school teachers in the Balearic Islands. Subsequently, the population for conducting the test-retest and assessing instrument stability comprised 20 secondary stage PE teachers in the same community. Both samples were selected purposively and non-probabilistically, emphasizing convenience, accessibility, and resemblance to the final target population. This choice aimed to prevent potential contamination due to belonging to a different community than the intended final questionnaire administration.

3) Internal consistency and Exploratory Factor Analysis: The final study population encompassed secondary PE teachers in Catalonia during the 2018-2019 academic year, totaling $n = 5,629$, according to the Department of Education of the Catalan Government. Although not all registered individuals responded, a final sample of $n = 418$ (56.9 % men, 42.8 % women, and 0.2 % non-binary) completed the questionnaire. The age range varied from 20 to over 60 years, with the majority falling between 40 and 59 years (65.3 %). All provinces of Catalonia were represented, with Barcelona constituting 64.8 % and the remaining provinces contributing approximately 10% each, ensuring a confidence rate of 95 %. Ethical considerations aligned with the Declaration of Helsinki (2017), ensuring participants' anonymity, informed consent, and ethical treatment throughout the research process, duly approved by the clinical research ethics committee of the Catalan Sports Administration.

Materials and Instruments

Construction of the Instrument

To develop the instrument for this study, initial consideration was given to replicating the questionnaire designed by Villard (2014), specifically tailored for PE teachers at the secondary level in a different autonomous community (Andalusia). However, due to shifts in educational legislation—from a curriculum structured around conceptual, procedural, and attitudinal contents (Organic Law 2/2006) to a competency-based curriculum (LOMCE - Law 8/2013)—a decision was made to create an adapted questionnaire. The modifications also accounted for variations in educational content across different autonomous communities, aligning with the current curriculum in Catalonia (marked by Decree 187/2015) and included an extension to assess the knowledge and implementation of BP in their classes.

Simultaneously, various sources on questionnaire construction were reviewed, comparing different question types (multi-response, Likert scale, scale, dichotomous, and open-ended), presentation methods, and wording. Efforts were made to avoid excessive length in the questionnaire, taking into consideration the characteristics of the target population and respondents' situations.

The questionnaire aimed to address hypotheses regarding CE and to explore potential limitations and challenges faced by specialized PE teachers at the secondary stage in Catalonia when teaching CE content. It sought to determine if these educators believed their initial training related to CE was insufficient, if they perceived ambiguities in the curriculum's guidance regarding CE content, and if they employed BP in teaching CE, among other aspects.

Procedure

The present study was evaluated favorably by the clinical research ethics committee of the Catalan Sports Administration (12/2019/CEICEGC) and follows all ethical standards and guidelines in educational research and in the field of sports and exercise sciences, as well as the criteria of the Declaration of Helsinki and the codes of research integrity.

The classical Delphi method was employed to achieve consensus among experts regarding specific problems (Cabero & Infante, 2014). This research involved three rounds as Rodríguez-Rivadulla et al. (2019) and its study, constituting an initial round within itself. This approach aligned with theories by Cabero and Infante (2014) and George and Trujillo (2018), supporting the Delphi method's efficacy across three or more rounds, except for the modified Delphi EFTE (Estimate, Feedback, Talk, Estimate), which can be conducted in two rounds.

The expert panel quantitatively and qualitatively evaluated: the completeness and clarity of construct definitions, the relevance and appropriateness of construct-defining dimensions, and the relevance, appropriateness, completeness, and sequence of items defining these dimensions. Quantitative

analysis involved calculating arithmetic means (M) and Standard Deviations (SD), discarding values below 7 (representing 70 % agreement) and modifying items with values of 7-8 based on qualitative comments. Items rated 9-10 were retained. Excel (16.49) and SPSS (18.0) were used for calculations. The same procedure was applied to evaluate the instrument's logical order, question count and duration, adequacy of response options, and data collection effectiveness.

Data Analysis

1) Content validity (construct and quantitative and qualitative assessment by expert judges)

Following an examination of the expert panel's feedback, a questionnaire comprising 46 items across four dimensions was proposed: Dimension 1 (DIM1) focused on socio-demographic data, consisting of 10 items; Dimension 2 (DIM2) gauged self-perceived competencies in teaching CE, comprising 8 items; Dimension 3 (DIM3) explored beliefs about CE with 14 items; and Dimension 4 (DIM4) addressed BP as a CE content with 14 items (refer to Table 2).

Table 2

Final dimensions of the construct based on the experts' evaluations.

| Dimension | Subdimensions | No. questionnaire items |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| DIM1 Identification, initial training and teaching experience | • Gender | 1 |
| | • Date of birth | 2 |
| | • Academic qualification | 3 |
| | • Year of completion of studies | 4 |
| | • University where you studied | 5 |
| | • Studies related to the teaching specialty (Initial training) | 6 |
| | • Current professional status | 7 |
| | • Years teaching PE classes | 8, 9 |
| | • Years working in CE | 10 |
| DIM2 Self-perception of competencies to teach CE in secondary school | • Sufficient initial training to teach CE content | 11 |
| | • Specificity of the curriculum | 12 |
| | • Continuous training | 13, 14 |
| | • Resources used to develop CE | 15 |
| | • Previous personal experience | 16 |
| | • Feelings when teaching CE content | 17 |
| | • Degree of motivation to teach CE content | 18 |
| DIM3 Beliefs about CE | • Importance of CE content | 19, 20, 23, 24 |
| | • Transfer for life | 21, 22 |
| | • Contents you develop or not to teach CE | 23, 24, 25, 26 |
| | • Difficulties in teaching CE | 27, 28 |
| | • Student perception of gender "load" according to content | 29 |
| | • Degree of student satisfaction with CE classes according to gender | 30, 31, 32 |
| DIM4 Body Percussion as CE content | • BP knowledge | 33 |
| | • Use of BP | 34 |
| | • BP Gender Load | 35 |
| | • BP as a CE content in PE | 36 |
| | • Content that develops physical fitness | 37 |
| | • Content that improves coordination | 38 |
| | • Content that improves body awareness | 39 |
| | • Content that develops sense of rhythm | 40 |
| | • Content that introduces dance | 41 |
| | • Content that encourages participation and collaboration to create choreographies by students | 42 |
| | • Content to increase positive emotions | 43 |
| | • Content to improve personal relationships | 44 |
| | • Content that fosters teamwork | 45 |
| | • Content that facilitates inclusion | 46 |

2) Reliability (construct and temporal stability)

The pilot test underwent validation alongside a readability test, yielding Flesch-Szigriszt index values of 66.89, a word correlation of 22.78, and a Fernández Huerta index of 71.87, indicating relatively easy readability.

The Test-Retest analysis to assess stability employed Google Forms for data collection, with subsequent analysis

conducted using Excel (16.49), SPSS (18.0), and the JASP program version 0.10.2. Statistical calculations aimed to ascertain differences or similarities between responses from two distinct questionnaire administrations. Table 3 is a sample of the present descriptive analyses after rank tests and statistical contrasts.

Table 3

Results obtained in the Test-Retest in Dimension 3, which refers to the contents of Body Expression (items 19 to 27).

| Descriptive statistics | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|------|-------|------|------|----------|------|-------|-------|
| | N | M | DS | Min. | Max. | (Median) | Ties | Z | P |
| M1D3p19 | 20 | 3.65 | 0.671 | 2 | 4 | 4.00 | 17 | 0.577 | 0.564 |
| M2D3p19 | 20 | 3.70 | 0.571 | 2 | 4 | 4.00 | | | |
| M1D3p201 | 20 | 3.70 | 0.657 | 2 | 4 | 4.00 | 18 | 1.414 | 0.157 |
| M2D3p201 | 20 | 3.80 | 0.523 | 2 | 4 | 4.00 | | | |
| M1D3p202 | 20 | 3.75 | 0.550 | 2 | 4 | 4.00 | 19 | 1.000 | 0.317 |
| M2D3p202 | 20 | 3.80 | 0.523 | 2 | 4 | 4.00 | | | |
| M1D3p203 | 20 | 3.80 | 0.410 | 3 | 4 | 4.00 | 14 | 1.633 | 0.102 |
| M2D3p203 | 20 | 3.60 | 0.598 | 2 | 4 | 4.00 | | | |
| M1D3p204 | 20 | 3.80 | 0.410 | 3 | 4 | 4.00 | 14 | 0.816 | 0.414 |
| M2D3p204 | 20 | 3.70 | 0.571 | 2 | 4 | 4.00 | | | |
| M1D3p21 | 20 | 3.75 | 0.444 | 3 | 4 | 4.00 | 17 | 0.577 | 0.564 |
| M2D3p21 | 20 | 3.80 | 0.410 | 3 | 4 | 4.00 | | | |
| M1D3p231 | 20 | 1.00 | 1.257 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | 13 | 0.434 | 0.665 |
| M2D3p231 | 20 | 1.05 | 1.191 | 0 | 3 | 0.50 | | | |
| M1D3p232 | 20 | 0.45 | 0.887 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | 16 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| M2D3p232 | 20 | 0.45 | 0.999 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | | | |
| M1D3p233 | 20 | 0.50 | 0.946 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | 14 | 0.425 | 0.671 |
| M2D3p233 | 20 | 0.60 | 1.142 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | | | |
| M1D3p234 | 20 | 0.50 | 0.827 | 0 | 2 | 0.00 | 14 | 0.816 | 0.414 |
| M2D3p234 | 20 | 0.30 | 0.801 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | | | |
| M1D3p235 | 20 | 0.65 | 0.933 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | 11 | 0.965 | 0.335 |
| M2D3p235 | 20 | 1.00 | 1.298 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | | | |
| M1D3p236 | 20 | 0.75 | 1.070 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | 13 | 0.513 | 0.608 |
| M2D3p236 | 20 | 0.60 | 1.142 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | | | |
| M1D3p237 | 20 | 0.40 | 0.821 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | 15 | 0.680 | 0.496 |
| M2D3p237 | 20 | 0.25 | 0.786 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | | | |
| M1D3p238 | 20 | 0.40 | 0.821 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | 13 | 0.342 | 0.733 |
| M2D3p238 | 20 | 0.50 | 1.000 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | | | |
| M1D3p239 | 20 | 1.00 | 1.257 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | 11 | 0.725 | 0.468 |
| M2D3p239 | 20 | 0.80 | 1.196 | 0 | 3 | 0.00 | | | |

NOTE: M1: Moment 1 (Test), M2: Moment 2 (Retest), D: Dimension, p: question, N: Number of participants, M: Mean, SD: Standard Deviation, Min: minimum value, Max: maximum value, Z: Z value, p: significance, * items whose significance is $p < .05$. The Wilcoxon test was performed on Likert scale items (values from 0 to 4) and the McNemar test with dichotomous and/or Mc Nemar-Bowquer with categorical variables (Test for repeated measures).

3) Internal consistency and Exploratory Factor Analysis

Dimensional analyses commenced with Dimension 1 socio-demographic data collection, not subject to consistency analysis. For Dimensions 2, 3, and 4, statistical calculations involved validation criteria such as homogeneous

means, nonzero standard deviations, item-total correlations exceeding .30, skewness below 2, and kurtosis below 7.

Elimination criteria were applied to refine all Dimensions, resulting in an increase in Cronbach's Alpha from .675 to .734 upon reducing items from 9 to 4 (Dimension 2 – Table 4).

Table 4

Initial solution of the nonparametric tests in Dimension 2 following criteria for item elimination.

| Items | Mean | Standard Deviation | Item-total correlation corrected | Cronbach's alpha if the element is removed | Skewness (ET = 0.119) | Kurtosis (ET = 0.238) | Eliminate |
|---|---|--------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| q11 | 2.29 | 0.917 | .154 | .630 | 0.065 | −0.418 | 1 |
| q12 | 2.28 | 0.938 | .219 | .617 | −0.327 | 0.076 | 2 |
| q13 | 2.12 | 0.915 | .497 | .559 | 0.569 | −0.408 | |
| q14M | 2.02 | 1.286 | .330 | .593 | −0.770 | −1.211 | |
| q14F | 1.04 | 0.314 | .184 | .627 | 2.375 | 14.966 | 3 |
| q15F | 1.80 | 0.879 | .182 | .624 | 0.841 | −0.063 | 4 |
| q16 | 3.28 | 0.778 | .171 | .625 | −0.876 | 0.371 | 5 |
| q17 | 2.81 | 0.954 | .622 | .527 | −0.435 | −0.574 | |
| q18 | 7.36 | 2.039 | .535 | .536 | −1.008 | 1.220 | |
| Reliability statistics | | | | | | | |
| Cronbach's alpha .626 | | | Cronbach's alpha based on typed items .620 | | | No. of elements 9 | |
| Analysis of the Final Proposal as items of Dimension 2 - Perceived Competence to teach Body Expression in secondary schools | | | | | | | |
| Items | Average of the scale if the element is eliminated | | Variance of the scale if the element is eliminated | | Item-total correlation corrected | Squared multiple correlation | Cronbach's alpha if the element is removed |
| q13 | 12.19 | | 11.041 | | .566 | .472 | .587 |
| q14M | 12.29 | | 10.466 | | .384 | .418 | .654 |
| q17 | 11.50 | | 10.673 | | .599 | .470 | .566 |
| q18 | 6.95 | | 6.015 | | .514 | .482 | .652 |
| Reliability statistics | | | | | | | |
| Cronbach's alpha .675 | | | Cronbach's alpha based on typed items .734 | | | No. of elements 4 | |

Note: q: question, numbers 13-18: question identifier number. As criteria for the elimination of items, homogeneous means, standard deviation far from zero, item-total correlation greater than .30, skewness less than 2 and kurtosis less than 7 were considered as criteria for the elimination of items.

In Dimension 3, items 22, 24, 25, 26, and 32 were eliminated due to being open-ended questions. Restructuring and item

reduction (from 21 to 8 items) bolstered its internal consistency (Cronbach's Alpha from .698 to .703) (Dimension 3 – Table 5).

Table 5

Initial solution of the nonparametric tests in Dimension 3 following criteria for item elimination.

| Items | Mean | Standard Deviation | Item-total correlation corrected | Cronbach's alpha if the element is removed | Skewness (ET = 0.119) | Kurtosis (ET = 0.238) | Eliminate |
|---|---|---|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| q19 | 3.430 | 0.690 | .340 | .490 | −1.057 | 0.625 | |
| q20_1 | 3.650 | 0.569 | .329 | .495 | −1.875 | 4.563 | 7 |
| q20_2 | 3.580 | 0.625 | .343 | .492 | −1.593 | 3.384 | 7 |
| q20_3 | 3.540 | 0.629 | .360 | .491 | −1.382 | 2.423 | |
| q20_4 | 3.500 | 0.663 | .355 | .490 | −1.512 | 2.642 | |
| q21 | 3.400 | 0.786 | .205 | .501 | −1.272 | 1.572 | 7 |
| q23_1 | 0.940 | 1.190 | .093 | .515 | 0.745 | −1.047 | 6 |
| q23_2 | 0.970 | 1.080 | .382 | .472 | 0.577 | −1.109 | |
| q23_3 | 0.690 | 1.070 | .245 | .493 | 1.136 | −0.315 | 7 |
| q23_4 | 1.160 | 1.161 | .132 | .509 | 0.300 | −1.472 | 7 |
| q23_5 | 0.530 | 0.970 | .457 | .465 | 1.235 | −0.112 | |
| q23_6 | 0.810 | 1.086 | .300 | .484 | 0.875 | −0.835 | |
| q23_7 | 0.840 | 1.119 | .224 | .495 | 0.644 | −1.227 | 7 |
| q23_8 | 0.570 | 0.990 | .393 | .473 | 1.244 | −0.061 | |
| q23_9 | 0.430 | 0.913 | .509 | .461 | 1.641 | 1.098 | |
| q23_10 | 0.950 | 1.183 | .226 | .494 | 0.802 | −1.006 | 7 |
| q23_11 | 0.930 | 1.160 | .291 | .484 | 0.723 | −1.190 | 7 |
| q27 | 2.490 | 1.063 | −.009 | .528 | −0.392 | −0.148 | 7 |
| q28F | 2.350 | 1.102 | −.026 | .532 | 0.426 | −0.715 | 7 |
| q30 | 5.980 | 1.914 | .079 | .532 | 1.328 | −0.237 | 2 |
| q31 | 8.560 | 0.990 | .186 | .502 | −1.299 | −0.314 | 4 |
| Reliability statistics | | | | | | | |
| Cronbach's alpha .517 | | Cronbach's alpha based on typed items .387 | | | No. of elements 84 | | |
| Analysis of the Final Proposal as items of Dimension 3 - Opinion on the Corporal Expression contents. | | | | | | | |
| Items | Average of the scale if the element is eliminated | | Variance of the scale if the element is eliminated | | Item-total correlation corrected | Squared multiple correlation | Cronbach's alpha if the element is removed |
| q19 | 10.740 | | 17.155 | | .254 | .482 | .694 |
| q20_3 | 10.690 | | 16.802 | | .324 | .835 | .683 |
| q20_4 | 10.690 | | 16.619 | | .333 | .835 | .681 |
| q23_2 | 13.070 | | 14.598 | | .378 | .172 | .673 |
| q23_5 | 13.500 | | 14.255 | | .461 | .397 | .651 |
| q23_6 | 13.310 | | 14.122 | | .438 | .239 | .657 |
| q23_8 | 13.480 | | 14.612 | | .405 | .343 | .665 |
| q23_9 | 13.65 | | 14.301 | | .518 | .486 | .638 |
| Reliability statistics | | | | | | | |
| Cronbach's alpha .698 | | Cronbach's alpha based on typed items .703 | | | No. of elements 8 | | |

Note: q: question, numbers 19-23: question identifier number. As criteria for the elimination of items, homogeneous means, standard deviation far from zero, item-total correlation higher than .30, skewness lower than 2 and kurtosis lower than 7 were considered.

Regarding Dimension 4 (Table 6), despite initially retaining all items, recommendations led to the elimination of items 33, 34, 35, 37, and 40, eventually raising the Cronbach's Alpha to .917

Following independent dimensional analyses, the Exploratory Factor Analysis was performed as a final statistical validation procedure.

Table 6

Initial solution of nonparametric tests in Dimension 4 following criteria for item elimination.

| Items | Mean | Standard Deviation | Item-total correlation corrected | Cronbach's alpha if the element is removed | Skewness (ET = 0.119) | Kurtosis (ET = 0.238) | Eliminate |
|--|---|---|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| q33 | 2.04 | 0.887 | .272 | .902 | 0.769 | 0.611 | 3 |
| q34 | 0.25 | 0.431 | .246 | .899 | 1.181 | -0.608 | 2 |
| q35 | 3.00 | 0.196 | -.039 | .902 | 0 | 23.419 | 1 |
| q36 | 2.93 | 1.106 | .691 | .884 | -0.861 | 0.016 | |
| q37 | 2.01 | 1.162 | .484 | .896 | -0.06 | -0.795 | 4 |
| q38 | 3.50 | 0.814 | .687 | .884 | -2.15 | 5.504 | |
| q39 | 3.11 | 0.944 | .729 | .882 | -1.123 | 1.249 | |
| q40 | 3.74 | 0.630 | .646 | .888 | -3.609 | 16.511 | 5 |
| q41 | 3.10 | 0.895 | .624 | .887 | -1.136 | 1.634 | |
| q42 | 3.22 | 0.893 | .726 | .882 | -1.39 | 2.377 | |
| q43 | 3.08 | 0.956 | .754 | .880 | -1.123 | 1.302 | |
| q44 | 3.08 | 0.986 | .704 | .883 | -1.149 | 1.129 | |
| q45 | 3.42 | 0.811 | .717 | .883 | -1.786 | 4.084 | |
| q46 | 3.28 | 0.900 | .692 | .884 | -1.587 | 3.075 | |
| Reliability statistics | | | | | | | |
| Cronbach's alpha .896 | | Cronbach's alpha based on typed items .884 | | | No. of elements 14 | | |
| Analysis of the Final Proposal as items of Dimension 4 - BP Percussion as CE | | | | | | | |
| Items | Average of the scale if the element is eliminated | | Variance of the scale if the element is eliminated | | Item-total correlation corrected | Squared multiple correlation | Cronbach's alpha if the element is removed |
| q36 | 25.79 | | 32.470 | | .643 | .480 | .914 |
| q38 | 25.22 | | 34.670 | | .674 | .536 | .910 |
| q39 | 25.61 | | 32.923 | | .737 | .586 | .905 |
| q41 | 25.62 | | 34.480 | | .620 | .430 | .913 |
| q42 | 25.50 | | 33.469 | | .728 | .557 | .906 |
| q43 | 25.64 | | 32.409 | | .778 | .645 | .902 |
| q44 | 25.64 | | 32.475 | | .743 | .647 | .905 |
| q45 | 25.30 | | 34.032 | | .751 | .676 | .905 |
| q46 | 25.44 | | 33.365 | | .733 | .643 | .906 |
| Reliability statistics | | | | | | | |
| Cronbach's alpha .917 | | Cronbach's alpha based on typed items .919 | | | No. of elements 9 | | |

Note: q: question, numbers 33-46: question identifier number. As criteria for the elimination of items, homogeneous means, standard deviation far from zero, item-total correlation greater than .30, skewness less than 2 and kurtosis less than 7 were considered as criteria for the elimination of items.

Exploratory Factor Analysis

Table 7 displays the final proposed questionnaire, revealing a cumulative variance explained by 5 factors close to 60% (58.71%). Employing the maximum likelihood extraction method and Varimax normalization with Kaiser rotation, convergence was achieved within 4 iterations, mirroring studies by Domínguez-Alonso et al. (2018).

The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure demonstrated a coefficient value of .827, considered acceptable and satisfactory (Arias et al., 2020). Bartlett's test of sphericity provided significant results ($p < .001$) and an approximate chi-square of 4,476.068, affirming the continuity of the factor analysis. Subsequently, the final questionnaire was validated, comprising 21 items across 5 dimensions.

Table 7
Matrix of rotated factors.

| Item | New item | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| D2q13 | D5q20 | .107 | -.002 | .021 | .212 | .9 |
| D2q14M | D5q21 | -.006 | .035 | -.016 | .125 | .689 |
| D2q17 | D4q18 | .076 | .075 | .015 | .653 | .205 |
| D2q18 | D4q19 | .183 | .003 | .06 | .969 | .149 |
| D3q19 | D2q10 | -.028 | .711 | .016 | .076 | .042 |
| D3q20_3 | D2q11 | .032 | .957 | .034 | -.019 | 0 |
| D3q20_4 | D2q12 | .029 | .946 | .056 | .022 | -.002 |
| D3q23_2 | D3q14 | .013 | .127 | .397 | .014 | .08 |
| D3q23_5 | D3q13 | -.002 | -.034 | .699 | .015 | -.04 |
| D3q23_6 | D3q15 | .007 | .094 | .505 | .029 | .016 |
| D3q23_8 | D3q16 | .024 | -.064 | .646 | .006 | -.037 |
| D3q23_9 | D3q17 | 0 | -.088 | .832 | -.009 | -.05 |
| D4q36 | D1q1 | .649 | .048 | .01 | .134 | .026 |
| D4q38 | D1q2 | .693 | .022 | -.011 | .03 | .017 |
| D4q39 | D1q3 | .742 | -.014 | .042 | .07 | .076 |
| D4q41 | D1q4 | .634 | -.003 | .01 | .044 | .067 |
| D4q42 | D1q5 | .757 | .03 | .005 | -.021 | .055 |
| D4q43 | D1q6 | .816 | -.014 | -.019 | .08 | -.015 |
| D4q44 | D1q7 | .802 | -.02 | .014 | .055 | -.025 |
| D4q45 | D1q8 | .808 | -.002 | .043 | .028 | -.032 |
| D4q46 | D1q9 | .801 | -.013 | -.006 | -.009 | -.013 |

Note: D: Dimension, q: question, numbers 13-46: question identifier number. The boxes marked in grey indicate the conglomeration by factors, giving rise to 21 items distributed in 5 factors.

Results

Based on the results, the questionnaire was restructured. Following sociodemographic data, items related to BP as CE content (New Dimension 1), the significance given to CE (New Dimension 2), CE contents focused on dance (New Dimension 3), perceived competence in teaching CE (New Dimension 4), and Continuing Education (New Dimension 5) were organized, totaling 21 items, leaving the 19 significant from table 7 and 7 questions to identify the sample for the final questionnaire.

The questionnaire underwent validation via the Delphi method by a panel of 10 experts. A pilot test was conducted in a different community ($n = 40$), and instrument stability was assessed through a Test-Retest ($n = 20$) with a minimum 7-day interval. Statistical validation criteria for item elimination included means homogeneity, nonzero standard deviations, item-total correlations $> .30$, skewness < 2 , and kurtosis < 7 .

Each dimension obtained Cronbach's Alpha values: DIM1 (.675), DIM2 (.698), and DIM3 (.917). The final questionnaire, administered among PE teachers specialized in compulsory secondary education in Catalonia ($n = 418$), exhibited a cumulative variance explained by 5 factors of almost 60 % (58.71 %). The instrument demonstrated acceptable reliability and validity indicators.

The validated instrument, titled the Questionnaire of Opinion of Physical Education Teachers in the Teaching-Learning Process of Corporal Expression (QUOPET-CE), consists of 26 items distributed across three parts: Identification of the sample (12 items, of which 7 are sociodemographic and two correspond to factor 5 of Table 7, dimension not differentiated and included to identify the sample), dimension 1 (BP as CE content) with 9 items, and dimension 2 created from the union of the factors 2, 3 and 4 of Table 7 (perceived competence for teaching of CE content in secondary education) with 5 items where 2 were eliminated (D3p23_2 and D3p23_6) as analyses in Table 7 recommend and were maintained with its subsections items D3p20 and D3p23 (see Annex).

Items 11 and 12, corresponding to Factor 5, were suggested as additional identification data. Dimension 1 comprised items from Factor 1, while Factor 2, Factor 3, and Factor 4 items were distributed across other sections.

Discussion

Over time, CE has gained increasing relevance in the educational domain; however, its implementation in teaching curricula remains relatively limited compared to other subjects (Monfort & Iglesias, 2015). Existing literature on teachers' perceptions and potential limitations in integrating CE into their teaching primarily focuses on primary education contexts (Lafuente & Hortigüela, 2021; Montávez, 2012; Rodríguez-Fernández et al., 2019). In instances where research covers

the secondary stage, studies have typically focused on regions other than Catalonia, examining either student or teacher perceptions (Archilla, 2013; Arias et al., 2021; Armada, 2017; Conesa-Ros & Angosto, 2017; Villard, 2014). This study aimed to understand the current status of CE in ESO (Compulsory Secondary Education) in Catalonia specifically from the viewpoint of teachers. Therefore, the first step was to validate a questionnaire tailored to this population.

The methodology followed in the design and validation of questionnaires, as observed in previous studies like Espinel (2017), Banyeres (2015), López and Sanz (2021), and Villard et al. (2013), was reviewed. Villard's questionnaire was adapted for Catalonia's population and aligned with the current educational laws of the region. Additionally, a new dimension was introduced in the questionnaire to encompass the burgeoning artistic language of BP within CE in PE (Garcías de Ves, 2021a, 2021b, 2021c; Garcías de Ves et al. 2022). The validation process encompassed content, logic, and criteria, employing the Delphi method with 10 experts in the field. Subsequently, a pilot test and test-retest were conducted to ensure the stability of the questionnaire. The calculated Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) coefficient (.827), considered satisfactory and close to very good according to Arias et al. (2020), indicated the questionnaire's suitability for the study population. Bartlett's test of sphericity and chi-square values affirmed the continuity of factor analysis, reinforcing the questionnaire's validation.

The final validated questionnaire comprised 26 items distributed across 2 dimensions. This differs from the Villard (2014) questionnaire, which consisted of a total of 30 items. The refined proposal encompassed a reduced number of questions with a focused emphasis on dance and the incorporation of BP in PE. Moreover, it aimed to investigate teachers' confidence, motivation, and the perceived importance of CE in their PE classes.

This study lays the foundation for analyzing the responses obtained from the teaching staff, providing insights into the educational landscape surrounding CE in Catalonia's secondary education, thus contributing to the broader understanding of CE's role and integration within the educational framework.

Conclusion

Despite the acknowledged benefits and potential of CE, it remains one of the least emphasized subjects among PE teachers in Spain. Several reasons contribute to this phenomenon within this demographic, including ambiguous objectives and methodologies, prevalent prejudices and gender stereotypes associated with expressive motor skills, and insufficient training among other factors.

To address this issue, an existing questionnaire utilized in Andalusia was adapted and subjected to rigorous statistical testing for validation. The process involved the application

of the expert panel (Delphi method) for quantitative and qualitative assessments to validate the instrument's logic, criteria, content, and construct. Additionally, a pilot test was conducted in a distinct population ($n = 40$), followed by a Test-Retest analysis ($n = 20$) to ascertain its stability. An exploratory factor analysis was performed across all dimensions with a sample size of 418 teachers, of which 42.8 % were women. The validation process resulted in a Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) coefficient of .827, indicating proximity to a very good fit. Moreover, Bartlett's test of sphericity and Chi-square values affirmed the continuity of the factor analysis, thereby validating the Physical Education Teachers' Opinion Questionnaire for the teaching-learning of Body Expression in Secondary Education.

Consequently, the primary objective of this study, aimed at understanding the current status of CE in Catalonia's secondary education, commenced with the validation of an instrument designed to gather teachers' perceptions regarding the implementation of this content.

This study encountered several limitations, notably the challenge of obtaining a sufficiently representative sample size to validate the questionnaire thoroughly. Although having carried out in a specific community, it cannot be extrapolated and generalized to other communities unless some specific items such as the contents of the curriculum are modified (item 24). Moving forward, addressing these limitations will be crucial for ensuring a more comprehensive understanding of the perceptions and challenges faced by PE teachers in incorporating CE within their educational practice.

Acknowledgments

The research received financial support from the National Institute of Physical Education of Catalonia (INEFC). Our heartfelt appreciation goes out to all the teachers in Catalonia and the Balearic Islands who took the time to complete the questionnaire and contributed to this study. As well as the Spanish Association of Physical Activity and Body Expression (AFYEC), which collaborated in creating the panel of experts. Their valuable collaboration was instrumental in validating the instrument used in our research.

References

- American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association & National Council on Measurement in Education. (2018). VALIDEZ. In *Estándares para Pruebas Educativas y Psicológicas* (pp. 11–34). American Educational Research Association. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvr43hg2.5>
- Archilla, M. T. (2013). *Dificultades del profesorado de educación física con los contenidos de expresión corporal en secundaria*. [Doctoral Thesis] Universidad de Valladolid. <http://uvadoc.uva.es/handle/10324/4082>
- Arias, J. R., Fernández, B., & San Emeterio, C. (2020). Construction and validation of an instrument for measuring attitudes towards Body Expression. *Retos*, 83, 443–451. <https://doi.org/10.47197/retos.v38i38.74334>
- Arias, J. R., Fernández, B., & Valdés, R. (2021). Actitudes hacia la Expresión Corporal en el ámbito de la asignatura de Educación Física: Un estudio con alumnado de Educación Secundaria Obligatoria. *Retos*, 41, 596–608. <https://doi.org/10.47197/retos.v0i41.83296>
- Armada, J. M. (2017). *La Expresión Corporal como herramienta para el desarrollo de habilidades socioafectivas en el alumnado de Educación Secundaria Obligatoria*. [Doctoral Thesis] Universidad de Córdoba. <https://helvia.uco.es/xmlui/handle/10396/14985>
- Ato, M., López-García, J. J., & Benavente, A. (2013). Un sistema de clasificación de los diseños de investigación en psicología. *Anales de Psicología / Annals of Psychology*, 29(3), 1038–1059. <https://doi.org/10.6018/analesps.29.3.178511>
- Avella, J. R. (2016). Delphi panels: Research design, procedures, advantages, and challenges. *International Journal of Doctoral Studies*, 11, 305–321. <https://doi.org/10.28945/3561>
- Banyeres, L. (2015). *Disseny i aplicació d'una intervenció, basada en el model Transteòric, per incrementar o mantenir l'assistència en un centre de wellness*. [Doctoral Thesis] Institut Nacional d'Educació Física de Lleida. Universitat de Lleida. <http://hdl.handle.net/10803/404296>
- Cabero, J., & Infante, A. (2014). Empleo del método Delphi y su empleo en la investigación en comunicación y educación. *Educat. Revista Electrónica de Tecnología Educativa*, (48), a272–a272. <https://doi.org/10.21556/EDUTEC.2014.48.187>
- Calvo, A., Ferreira, M., León, J., García, I., & Pérez, R. (2011). Un análisis DAFO sobre Expresión Corporal desde la perspectiva de la Educación Física actual. *EmásF, Revista Digital de Educación Física*. Año 2, Num. 11, pp. 20–28 (julio-agosto de 2011). <https://investiga.upo.es/documentos/5eb288f929995203e240eaaa?lang=en>
- Cañadas, L., Santos-Pastor, M. L., & Castejón, F. J. (2019). Teaching competences in physical education teacher initial training. *Retos*, 35, 284–288. <https://doi.org/10.47197/retos.v0i35.64812>
- Conesa-Ros, E., & Angosto, S. (2017). La expresión corporal y danza en la educación física de secundaria y bachillerato. *Cuadernos de Psicología del Deporte*, 17(2), 111–120. Retrieved from: <https://revistas.um.es/cpd/article/view/301961>
- Cuéllar, M. J., & Pestano, M. A. (2013). Training teacher in Body Expression: study program and Physical Education. *Retos*, 24, 123–128. <https://doi.org/10.47197/retos.v0i24.34542>
- Domínguez-Alonso, J., López-Castelo, A., & Portela-Pino, I. (2018). Propiedades psicométricas del autoinforme de barreras para la práctica del ejercicio físico (ABPEF). *Revista Internacional de Medicina y Ciencias de la Actividad Física y el Deporte*, 18(72), 753–768.
- Espinell, P. A. (2017). *Evaluación formativa y compartida y modelo competencial en Secundaria: estudios de caso en la materia de Educación Física*. [Doctoral Thesis] Universidad Católica de Murcia. <http://hdl.handle.net/10952/2564>
- García, I., Pérez, R., & Calvo, Á. (2015). Iniciación a la danza como agente educativo de la expresión corporal en la educación física actual. Aspectos metodológicos. *Retos*, (20), 33–36. <https://doi.org/10.47197/retos.v0i20.34621>
- Garcías de Ves, S. (2021a). Impacto positivo de una master class online de Percusión Corporal para docentes. *Retos*, 42, 296–305. <https://doi.org/10.47197/retos.v42i0.87059>
- Garcías de Ves, S. (2021b). La Percusión Corporal: del escenario al aula. In S. Olivero (Ed.), *El devenir de las civilizaciones: interacciones entre el entorno humano, natural y cultural* (pp. 1588–1614). Madrid: Dykinson, S.L. <https://www.dykinson.com/libros/el-devenir-de-las-civilizaciones-interacciones-entre-el-entorno-humano-natural-y-cultural/9788413773247/>
- Garcías de Ves, S. (2021c). La Percusión Corporal en la Formación Inicial del Profesorado de Educación Física. Satisfacción y/o Frustración de las Necesidades Psicológicas Básicas de los estudiantes universitarios. In O. Buzón-García, C. Romero-García, & A. Verdú (Eds.), *Innovaciones metodológicas con TIC en educación* (pp. 2611–2637). Madrid: Dykinson, S.L. <https://www.dykinson.com/libros/innovaciones-metodologicas-con-tic-en-educacion/9788413773193/>

- Garcías de Ves, S., Joven, A., & Lorente-Catalán, E. (2022). Percusión Corporal a través de la Esku Dantza. Efectos sobre las emociones en estudiantes de grado en Ciencias de la Actividad Física y el Deporte. *Retos*, 45, 326-336. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.47197/retos.v45i0.91463>
- Generalitat de Catalunya. (2015). Decret 187/2015, de 25 d'agost, d'ordenació dels ensenyaments de l'educació secundària obligatòria. DOGC 6945, de 28.8.2015.
- George, C. E., & Trujillo, L. (2018). Application of the Modified Delphi Method for the Validation of a Questionnaire on the Incorporation of ICT in Teaching Practice. *Revista Iberoamericana de Evaluación Educativa*, 11(1), 113-135. <https://doi.org/10.15366/riee2018.11.1.007>
- Gil, J. (2016). *Los contenidos de la expresión corporal en el título de grado en ciencias del deporte*. [Doctoral Thesis] Facultad de Ciencias de la Actividad Física y el Deporte. Universidad Politécnica de Madrid. <https://doi.org/10.20868/UPM.thesis.39652>
- Gil-Ares, J. & Armada-Crespo, J. M. (2023). Analysis of Corporal Expression in the Degree in Primary Education. *Apunts Educación Física y Deportes*, 152, 13-21. [https://doi.org/10.5672/apunts.2014-0983.es.\(2023/2\).152.02](https://doi.org/10.5672/apunts.2014-0983.es.(2023/2).152.02)
- Gobierno de España. (2006). Ley Orgánica 6/2006, de 19 de julio, de reforma del Estatuto de Autonomía de Cataluña. BOE 172, de 20/07/2006.
- Gobierno de España. (2013). Ley Orgánica 8/2013, de 9 de diciembre, para la mejora de la calidad educativa. BOE 295, de 10/12/2013.
- Lafuente, J. & Hortigüela, D. (2021). The perception of future teachers about implementation of teaching content on bodily expression. *Movimiento. Revista de Educação física da UFRGS*. <https://seer.ufrgs.br/Movimento/article/view/111735/62051>
- López, E., & Sanz, R. (2021). Construcción y validación del Cuestionario de autopercepción sobre las Competencias docentes del profesorado. *Educatio Siglo XXI*, 39(3), 157-186. <https://doi.org/10.6018/educatio.427461>
- Lorente-Catalán, E., Montilla, M. J., & Romero, M. R. (2013). Grado de definición y coherencia de los programas de Expresión Corporal en las titulaciones universitarias de Educación Física. *Revalue. Revista de evaluación educativa*, 2(July). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/256670229_Grado_de_definicion_y_coherencia_de_los_programas_de_Expresion_Corporal_en_las_titulaciones_universitarias_de_Educacion_Fisica
- Médica Mundial, A. (2009). Declaración de Helsinki de la Asociación Médica Mundial. Principios éticos para las investigaciones médicas en seres humanos. *Anales del Sistema Sanitario de Navarra*, 24(2), 209-212. <https://doi.org/10.23938/ASSN.0522>
- Monfort, M., & Iglesias, N. (2015). La creatividad en la expresión corporal. Un estudio de casos en educación secundaria. *Apunts Educación Física y Deportes*, 122, 28-35. [https://doi.org/10.5672/apunts.2014-0983.es.\(2015/4\).122.03](https://doi.org/10.5672/apunts.2014-0983.es.(2015/4).122.03)
- Montávez, M. (2012). *La expresión corporal en la realidad educativa. Descripción y análisis de su enseñanza como punto de referencia para la mejora de la calidad docente en los centros públicos de educación primaria de la ciudad de Córdoba*. [Doctoral Thesis] Universidad de Córdoba. <http://hdl.handle.net/10396/6310>
- Robles, J., Abad, M. T., Castillo, E., Giménez, F. J., & Robles, A. (2013). Factores que condicionan la presencia de la expresión corporal en la enseñanza secundaria según el profesorado de educación física. *Retos*, 24, 171-175. <https://doi.org/10.47197/retos.v0i24.34552>
- Rodríguez-Fernández, J.E.; Navarro-Patón, R.; & Peixoto-Pino, L. (2019). Contribution of subjects of corporal expression area to teaching training in elementary education. *Journal of Sport and Health Research*. 11(Supl 1):17-28. <http://hdl.handle.net/10347/20994>
- Rodríguez-Rivadulla, A., Saavedra-García, M., & Arriaza-Loureda, R. (2019). Creation and Validation of a Questionnaire on Sport Habits and Injuries in Skateboarding. *Apunts Educación Física y Deportes*, 1(135), 36-47. [https://doi.org/10.5672/apunts.2014-0983.es.\(2019/1\).135.03](https://doi.org/10.5672/apunts.2014-0983.es.(2019/1).135.03)
- Rojo-Ramos, J., Mañana Iglesia, C., Herreruella-Jara, D., Hernández-Beltran, V., & Gamonales, J. M. (2023). Descriptive analysis of preparation in Body Expression of teachers in Early Childhood Education of Extremadura. *Retos*, 47, 1022-1030. <https://doi.org/10.47197/retos.v47.96322>
- Sánchez-Sánchez, G., & López-Pérez, M. (2019). Análisis de los contenidos de Expresión Corporal impartidos en la formación inicial de los docentes de Primaria. *Educación XX1*, 22(1), 425-447. <https://doi.org/10.5944/educxx1.20058>
- Villard, M. (2014). *Percepciones del profesorado de Educación Física de Educación Secundaria sobre el papel de la Expresión Corporal en el Currículum en Andalucía*. [Doctoral Thesis] Universidad de Huelva.
- Villard, M., Abad, M. T., Montávez, M., & Castillo, E. (2013). Percepciones del profesorado de Educación Física de Secundaria en Andalucía: metodología y expresión corporal. *Retos*, 24, 149-153. <https://doi.org/10.47197/retos.v0i24.34546>

Conflict of interest: no conflict of interest was reported by the authors.



© Copyright Generalitat de Catalunya (INEFC). This article is available at the URL <https://www.revista-apunts.com/en/>. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Annex

Questionnaire of Opinion of Physical Education Teachers in the Teaching-Learning Process of Corporal Expression (QUOPET-CE)

Identification, initial training and teaching experience

0. Which province does the centre you work in belong to?

- 0.1. Barcelona
- 0.2. Girona
- 0.3. Lleida
- 0.4. Tarragona

1. Gender

- 1.1. Male
- 1.2. Female
- 1.3. Non-binary

2. Date of birth (day/month/year)

3. Academic qualification

- 3.1. Degree in Physical Activity and Sport Sciences (before 2009)
- 3.2. Degree in Physical Education Teaching
- 3.3. Degree in Physical Activity and Sports Sciences (from 2009)
- 3.4. Other. Please indicate.

4. In what year did you complete your undergraduate and/or graduate studies?

- 4.1. Before 1981
- 4.2. Between 1982 and 1998
- 4.3. Between 1999 and 2011
- 4.4. From 2012 onwards

5. Where did you complete your degree in Physical Activity and Sport Sciences?

- 5.1. INEFC Barcelona
- 5.2. INEFC Lleida
- 5.3. University of Vic
- 5.4. Ramon Llull - Blanquerna
- 5.5. EUSES - University of Girona
- 5.6. EUSES - URV (Campus Terres de l'Ebre)
- 5.7. TecnoCampus - Pompeu Fabra
- 5.8. Other. Please indicate.

6. How did you specialize in teaching (multiple answer)?

- 6.1. Teaching Itinerary
- 6.2. CAP (Certificate of Pedagogical Aptitude)
- 6.3. Master's Degree in Secondary and Bachelor's Degree Teacher Education
- 6.4. Other. Please indicate.

7. Current professional situation

- 7.1. Temporary replacement
- 7.2. Vacant interim
- 7.3. Trainee civil servant
- 7.4. Provisional civil servant with temporary assignment
- 7.5. Civil servant with definitive assignment
- 7.6. Other. Please indicate.

8. Years completed teaching PE at secondary school in a CHARTER SCHOOL

- 8.1. None
- 8.2. Between 1 and 3 years
- 8.3. Between 4 and 6 years
- 8.4. Between 7 and 9 years
- 8.5. Between 10 and 14 years
- 8.6. More than 15 years

9. Years completed teaching PE at secondary school in a PUBLIC SCHOOL

- 9.1. None
- 9.2. Between 1 and 3 years
- 9.3. Between 4 and 6 years
- 9.4. Between 7 and 9 years
- 9.5. Between 10 and 14 years
- 9.6. More than 15 years

10. Years completed working on Corporal Expression (CE) in secondary school PE classes

- 10.1. None
- 10.2. Between 1 and 3 years
- 10.3. Between 4 and 6 years
- 10.4. Between 7 and 9 years
- 10.5. Between 10 and 14 years
- 10.6. More than 15 years

11. How many courses related to CE content have you attended?

- 11.1. None
- 11.2. Between 1 and 3 courses
- 11.3. Between 4 and 6 courses
- 11.4. More than 6 courses

12. When was the last specialized course in Corporal Expression taken?

- 12.1. Before starting the degree / bachelor's degree
- 12.2. During the degree / bachelor's degree
- 12.3. At the end of the degree / bachelor's degree

DIMENSION 1: Body Percussion (BP) as a content of Corporal Expression (CE).

Express your degree of agreement according to a Likert scale where:

- 0 represents you do not know and do not answer
- 1 represents you strongly disagree
- 2 represents you somewhat agree
- 3 represents you agree
- 4 represents you strongly agree

Body Percussion (BP) can be a content...

- 13. ...of CE in PE classes.
0 1 2 3 4
- 14. ...for the improvement of coordination.
0 1 2 3 4
- 15. ...for the improvement of body knowledge (body awareness).
0 1 2 3 4
- 16. ...to introduce dance.
0 1 2 3 4
- 17. ...to encourage participation and collaboration in the creation of choreographies by the students.
0 1 2 3 4
- 18. ...to increase positive emotions.
0 1 2 3 4
- 19. ...to improve personal relationships.
0 1 2 3 4
- 20. ...to promote teamwork.
0 1 2 3 4
- 21. ...to facilitate inclusion.
0 1 2 3 4

DIMENSION 2: Perceived competence to teach CE content in secondary education.

Express your degree of agreement according to a Likert scale where:

- 0 represents you do not know and do not answer
- 1 represents you strongly disagree
- 2 represents you somewhat agree
- 3 represents you agree
- 4 represents you strongly agree

22. Are the contents of CE fundamental in PE (according to Likert scale).

- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

23. Is it important to teach the dimension of corporal expression and communication in the following courses? (indicate according to Likert scale).

23.1. In 3rd course

- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

23.2. In 4th course

- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

24. The Curriculum (Decree 187/2015), in the dimension of corporal expression and communication proposes, among others, the following contents. From your perspective, are they relevant (indicate according to Likert scale)?

24.1. Dances and popular dances from all over the world, with a special attention to those of Catalonia.

- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

24.2. Rhythm and movement: partner dancing.

- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

24.3. New dance trends

- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

25. Do you feel confident teaching the contents of CE in secondary school during the course? (indicate according to Likert scale).

- | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|---|---|---|---|

26. What do you consider to be your degree of motivation to teach CE contents? (from 0 to 10, with 0 being the minimum and 10 the maximum).

- | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|